

Advantages of online marking and/or peer-assessment (over hard copy)

No issues regarding handwriting or possibility of misplacing peer coursework

Online marking was much simpler - no issues with not understanding handwriting

I don't have issues reading handwriting. It saves time handing in and collecting

Saves time having to go and submit paper copy, also saves money (printing costs)

Saves time and got marks back quickly. Was easy to peer assess at multiple times. Saves printing money.

Don't have to decipher handwriting, saves time.

Submitting online easier.

Helps to understand the marking criteria. Don't have to walk far to manually hand in the coursework.

It does save a lot of time and money (and paper) over typical coursework handins. The actual page is very easy to read.

We were given more feedback and we didn't have to go and pick it up which saves time.

Saving paper - enviro friendly. Neat.

It saves time. It is easier to understand comments.

What can be improved on online marking and/or peer-assessment?

Better understanding of 1-5 scale

Not clear if 1 or 5 was good

Peer assessment varied widely, not clear if 1 or 5 was a high or low mark. I would like more feedback about what is expected to be improved. I would like feedback on the peer marking because I'm unsure if the peer mark was correct or not.

Sometimes the comments were contradictory, especially in peer marking. The abstract was very hard to do within the word count, especially when the methods sections are very long and there is lots of complex scientific terms.

Make the online feedback more consistent with the mark sheet we're given.

Ensure peer assessed work is moderated, more thorough feedback is needed sometimes. Sometimes it is easier to be less detailed on the computer.

More feedback - more constructive feedback. Marking criteria clearer.

Instructions on Moodle on how to mark the papers (highlighting, comments etc) could be clearer

I liked it from a technical standpoint although submitting my peer assessment could have been a smoother process.

We weren't told whether the peer marking was correct or exactly what deserved marks. So I wasn't confident about judging my own work. Maybe we should have been given an example and shown what was good and bad about it.

System was a bit confusing.

More feedback needs to be given.